

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/855,152	05/14/2001	Fujio Tanaka	1217-010740	1112
7:	590 06/26/2003			
Russell D. Orkin 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			EXAMINER	
			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
			ART UNIT	, PAPER NUMBER
			1754	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



m

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Offic Action Summan	855/52	langka et a
Offic Action Summary	Examiner 2 911	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet ber	neath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statused and the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory minine expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
☐ This action is FINAL.		•
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, pros e C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 1-37		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	·	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	- ·	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents I		•
in this national stage application from the International E	•	•
*Certified copies not received:	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	Inte	erview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Not	tice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Ott	ner
Office Acti	on Summary	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/855,152

Art Unit 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-5 and 19-24, drawn to a process for producing hydrogen peroxide, classified in Class 423, subclass 584.
- II. Claims 7-18 and 25-37, drawn to an apparatus for producing a purified aqueous hydrogen peroxide solution, classified in Class 422, subclass 129.

Claim 6 link(s) inventions I and III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 6. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional . application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 121 are no longer applicable. See In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Serial No. 09/855,152

Art Unit 1754

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, such as one which does not require the detailed limitations as recited in claims 7-18 and 25-37. Claim 6 is evidence that the process recited in claims 1-5 and 19-24 would not require the detailed limitations of the apparatus recited in claims 7-18 and 25-37.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for

Serial No. 09/855,152

Art Unit 1754

Group II, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Baldauf on June 23, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (703) 308-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Serial No. 09/855,152

Art Unit 1754

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

WAL:cdc

June 24, 2003

Mayne A LANGEL
WAYNE A LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER